



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/434,803	11/05/1999	HATIM YOUSEF AMRO	AT9-99-697	4374	
75	90 11/05/2002				
DUKE W YEE			EXAMINER		
CARSTENS YEE CAHOON LLP P O BOX 802334			PATEL, NITIN		
DALLAS, TX	/5380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2673		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

			\sim
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ø
	09/434,803	AMRO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nitin Patel	2673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status 1) M. Bosponsijus to communication(s) filed on 20	A		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.			
· —	nis action is non-final.		. :_
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			i IS
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6,8 and 15</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* *	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	kammer.		
	n maiority under 25 H.C.O. S	440(=) (-1) = = (5)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received		
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		unlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applica	tion).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/434,803

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 08/20/2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the mouse in claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,803

Art Unit: 2673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4,7,9-14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willner et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,709) in view of Russell (U.S. Patent no. 5,481,265).

As per claims 1,7,13,14 Willner shows a wireless computer (In Col.3 lines 34-38) input device for use with a data processing data processing system having a wireless transmitter for transmitting signals (In Col.7 lines 30-32 and element 200 in fig.5) and a selector (In col.14 lines 35-42) for selecting a one of plurality of wireless devices with which to operate, wherein a selector causes a signal to be transmitted from the wireless transmitter (in col.14 lines 25-420.

Willner does not show a plurality of data processing systems to operate with wireless controller. Russell shows a plurality of computer device being controlled by wireless controller (In Fig.14 and in col.17 lines 8-10) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made to allow the teaching of Russell's plurality of data processing into the system of Willner's because it would have provided a interface system allowing signal transmission and reception without rigorous aiming of the input device and externally switchless.

, applications contact

Art Unit: 2673

As per claims 2,4,9,10,11 Willner show input device as a keyboard and infra-red transmitter (In col.8 lines 59-60 and In col.7 lines 30-38).

As per claims 3,12 Neither Willner nor Russell shows an input device is a mouse, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that input device such as wireless keyboard and hand held device is well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5,6,8,15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art fails to teach or suggest the wireless transmitter is a radio frequency transmitter and a selector allow a selection of one of a plurality of radio frequencies, wherein each of the plurality of radio frequencies corresponding to a separate one of the plurality of data processing systems as claimed in claims 5,6.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest the signal is a frequency recognized by the particular data processing system as claimed in claim 15.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-7024. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,803

Art Unit: 2673

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin H Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9052 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9618.

NP

November 3, 2002

KENT CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER